



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,905	12/24/2003	Leon Neuer	MS-834	9169
7590 02/08/2006				
Bernard Malina, Esq. Malina & Wolson 60 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10165-0501		EXAMINER ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN		
		ART UNIT 3673 PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/743,905

Applicant(s)

NEUER ET AL.

Examiner

Patricia L. Engle

Art Unit

3612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 November 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dewstow (US Patent 1,199,766).

Regarding claim 1, Dewstow discloses a retractable combination automobile sunshade and luggage carrier comprising at least one housing (F2); at least one sunshade means (E) mounted in said housing (F) which comprises a roller means (E') and a flexible sunshade (E) mounted on said roller means (E') which can be unrolled to cover a front or rear windshield (H); at least one retraction means (G) disposed supporting said housing (F) and capable of an extended and raised position (Fig. 4) and a retracted and lowered position (page 1, lines 87-88). Regarding the limitation in the preamble that the retractable device is for the a luggage carrier, that recitation has been given little patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. The preamble limitation of the luggage carrier is not given life by the body of the claim, therefore it is viewed as an intended use. The structure of Dewstow is capable of being used in on the top of a luggage carrier as well as being a sunshade.

Regarding claim 7, Dewstow discloses a retractable combination automobile sunshade and luggage carrier as claimed in claim 1, further comprising a retractable door panel (F2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 2-4, 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dewstow.

Regarding claim 2, Dewstow does not disclose that the combination includes a seal means mounted on the housing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a seal means on the housing. The motivation would have been to prevent the housing from rattling and damaging the retractable member when it was in the lowered position.

Regarding claims 3, 4 and 8, Dewstow does not disclose how the retractable member is raised and lowered. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a linkage and an actuator means to raise and lower the retractable member. The motivation would have been to allow the shade to be raised and lowered with just the touch of a button.

6. Claims 1, 6, 9, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US 2003/0070775) in view of Won et al. (US Patent 6,029,873).

Li discloses a combination automobile sunshade and luggage carrier comprising at least one housing (Fig. 19); at least one sunshade means (210) mounted in said housing which comprises a roller means (Fig. 20) and a flexible sunshade (210) mounted on said roller means which can be unrolled to cover a front or rear windshield (Fig. 20). Regarding claims 6, 9 and 10, Li, discloses four housings forming a rectangular array (Fig. 20) in which the sunshades cover the front windshield, rear windshield, and side windows.

Li does not disclose that the sunshade is retractable.

Wu et al. discloses a frame mounted on a vehicle roof which is retractable into the vehicle roof.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to mount the sunshade frame in a retractable manner as taught by Wu et al.

The motivation would have been to allow the sunshade to be mounted on the vehicle but do not interfere with the aerodynamics of the vehicle when the sunshade is not in use (Wu et al., column 1, lines 24-25).

Art Unit: 3612

Regarding claim 11, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a fifth roller to cover the roof of the vehicle. The motivation would have been to prevent the roof from getting heated up which would cause the vehicle to be heated up.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.


Art Unit: 3612

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Engle whose telephone number is (571) 272-6660.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Patricia L. Engle
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3612

ple
January 30, 2006